## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file RPGX001WO	reference FOR FURTHEI	R ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application NPCT/JP2004/008407		date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.06.2003	
International Patent Class G21G4/04	ification (IPC) or national classification a	and IPC		
Applicant MITSUGASHIRA, Hi	roaki			
	international preliminary examination rticle 35 and transmitted to the apple		this International Preliminary Examining 36.	
<ol> <li>This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.</li> <li>This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:</li> </ol>				
				<ul> <li>a.          sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:         sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this repo and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).     </li> </ul>
beyon			nsiders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
sequence l		in computer readable for	ber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a m only, as indicated in the Supplemental e Instructions).	
4. This report contain	ns indications relating to the followin	ng items:		
⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opinion			
	Priority			
☐ Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with re	egard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Reasoned statement under Article 3 applicability; citations and explanations	` '		
☐ Box No. VI (	Certain documents cited			
☐ Box No. VII (	Certain defects in the international a	application		
☐ Box No. VIII (	Certain observations on the internat	tional application		
Date of submission of the d	lemand	Date of completion of t	this report	
06.04.2005		14.11.2005	•	
Name and mailing address preliminary examining author	ority:	Authorized Officer	gestisches Petenzag,	
NL-2280 HV	atent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Rijswijk - Pays Bas	Capostagno. E		
Tel. +31 70 3 Fax: +31 70 3	340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl 340 - 3016		340-3221	
Box No. III  Box No. IV  Box No. V  Box No. VI  Box No. VII  Box No. VIII  Box No. VIII  Cate of submission of the decrease or eliminary examining authority authority and the control of the decrease or eliminary examining authority auth	Non-establishment of opinion with relack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 3 applicability; citations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international acceptation observations on the international demand  of the international ority: atent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Rijswijk - Pays Bas 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl	Date of completion of t	ty, inventive step or industrial ement	

10/560922

# IAP9 Rec'dPCT/PTO 15 DEC 2005

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/008407

		•			
	Box No. I Basis of the repor				
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>		is report is based on the international application in the language in which it was under this item.			
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:				
	☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)				
	☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	. With regard to the <b>elements*</b> of have been furnished to the receired report as "originally filed" and are	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this e not annexed to this report):			
	Description, Pages				
	1-14	as originally filed			
	Claims, Numbers				
	1-14	as originally filed			
	Drawings, Sheets	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	1/2-2/2	as originally filed			
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3. [	☐ The amendments have resu	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
	<ul><li>the description, pages</li><li>the claims. Nos.</li></ul>	·			
	☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs				
	<ul><li>☐ the sequence listing (spe</li><li>☐ any table(s) related to see</li></ul>				
	La diff table(3) related to 30.	quence nating (apechy).			
ŀ	☐ This report has been established not been made, since they has Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	shed as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below ave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the			
	the description, pages				
	<ul><li>☐ the claims, Nos.</li><li>☐ the drawings, sheets/figs</li></ul>				
	<ul><li>☐ the sequence listing (spec</li><li>☐ any table(s) related to sec</li></ul>				
		me or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

12-14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

1-11 12-14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement.

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: XP8035233 D2: GB-A-636338

#### 2. Claims 12-14

- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 12-14 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, for the reasons in the following:
- 2.2 The document D1 discloses (see page 208, left-hand column, par. 2-3 and fig. 2,3): a <sup>210</sup>Pb collector which uses radon collection for collecting <sup>210</sup>Pb-<sup>210</sup>Po, which comprises a <sup>222</sup>Rn source which includes a substance including uranium radioactive nuclides; a moisture trap for collecting <sup>222</sup>Rn gas generated by the<sup>222</sup> Rn source along with a carrier gas and sending pure radon gas to a cold trap; and a <sup>222</sup>Rn collector trap for liquefying the <sup>222</sup>Rn gas by cooling to a temperature below the boiling point of <sup>222</sup>Rn and then generating <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>210</sup>Po.
- 2.3 In his reply letter of April 4, 2005 (received on April 6, 2005), the Applicant recognizes that fig.3 of document D1 is the same as figure 1 of the present application (representing the claimed collector), but he states that the driving conditions of the collector are more concretely disclosed in the description of the present application.
  - The examining division is nevertheless of the opinion that the present claim 12 does not contain any feature, even if disclosed in the description, that differentiates the subject-matter of claim 12 from the collector disclosed by D1.
  - Again, claim 12 mentions a "moisture trap" without any exclusion concerning the trap agent. As a consequence, the presence of silicon oil as trap agent in the device disclosed by D1 is unessential vis-à-vis of claim 12.

The subject-matter of claim 12 is therefore not new (Art.33.2 PCT).

2.4 The same reasoning of above par. 2.3 applies, *mutatis mutandis*, to the subject-matter of dependent claims 13-14:

D1 discloses an assembly showing all the additional features of dependent claims 13-14, which therefore are not new.

#### 3. Claims 1-11

3.1 The subject-matter of claims 1-11 is new and inventive (Art.33.2 and Art.33.3 PCT). The document D2 (see page 2, lines 86-119), which is considered as the closest prior art, discloses:

a method for making thin radioactive alpha sources, which consists of homogeneously mixing finely-divided radioactive (such as radium) powders with metal (such as gold) powders, sintering and compacting by rolling, sandwiching the mass between layers of protective metal, and cold working to the desired size.

The proportions of radioactive powder and metal powder depend upon how intense a degree of radioactivity is desired in a given final volume.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that a pure <sup>210</sup>Pb-<sup>210</sup>Po hydroxide precipitate is obtained by chemical treatment of a precursor <sup>222</sup>Rn source. The precipitate is then dissolved to obtain a final <sup>210</sup>Pb-<sup>210</sup>Po thin film.

3.2 The problem to be solved is how to manufacture an alpha source without the necessity of controlling the density of the alpha emitter, sandwiched between metal cover members or mixed in a metal matrix, which requires special dedicated apparatuses, for obtaining the desired activity in a given volume (see description, page 2, lines 7-18). Independent claim 1, which relates to a method for producing a sealed <sup>210</sup>Pb-<sup>210</sup>Po alpha source by using the Pb/Po powders of claim 12, discloses the feature of precipitating the hydroxides of the Pb/Po collected in the collector of claim 12, collecting the precipitates using a polycarbonate filter, dissolving the hydroxide precipitates to form a Pb/Po radioactive thin film and sealing the thin film for protection. This solution is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by the available prior art.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/008407

3.3 Claims 2-11 are dependent on claim 1 and, as such, they also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.